ABSTRACT

In 1978 the Alberta Government established the Reclamation Research Technical Advisory Committee (RRTAC) to develop a comprehensive reclamation research program which would provide answers to the major technical issues facing the government's regulatory bodies. RRTAC was given 10 years and about $12,000,000 to accomplish this task.

Four types of disturbances were of concern:
1) plains coal mining
2) mountains & foothills coal mining
3) oil sands mining and
4) oil and gas disturbances.

Administratively the Committee was responsible for coordinating and enlisting the efforts of seven provincial government agencies involved in reclamation and then accomplishing the same with the Industry.

The administrative structure of the resulting program, the research priorities, and project and results to date are discussed.

INTRODUCTION

The regulation of surface disturbances in Alberta is the responsibility of the Land Conservation and Reclamation Council. The Council executive consists of a chairman from the Department of the Environment and two deputy chairmen from the Department of Energy and Natural Resources. Among other functions, the Council oversees programs for reclamation of abandoned disturbances and reclamation research. The reclamation research program was established to identify the most efficient methods for achieving acceptable reclamation. Funds for implementing both the operational and research programs are drawn from Alberta's Heritage Savings Trust Fund.

To assist in technical matters related to the development and administration of the research program the Council appointed the Reclamation Research Technical Advisory Committee (RRTAC). The Committee first met in March, 1978 and consists of eight members representing the Alberta Departments of Agriculture, Energy and Natural Resources, Environment and the Alberta Research Council. The Committee meets regularly to update research priorities, review solicited and unsolicited research proposals, arrange workshops and otherwise act as a referral and coordinating body for reclamation research.

Our Reclamation Research Projects are trials for new technologies and new proposals are reviewed and rated on their adherence to priorities, likelihood of success and competence of researchers. Throughout the review process the key question is: "Would this technology make reclamation in Alberta a more efficient process?"
Research projects results are made available through publication and distribution of Reclamation Research Reports. The following section is a listing of available reports.

Additional information on the Reclamation Research Program may be obtained by contacting:

Dr. Paul F. Ziemkiewicz, Chairman
Reclamation Research Technical Advisory Committee
2nd Floor, Pacific Plaza
10909 Jasper Avenue
EDMONTON, Alberta
T5J 3H8

MINING AND RECLAMATION IN ALBERTA

Most of Alberta's industrial activity occurs in three major biophysical regions: The Plains, where most of the Province's agricultural land is located, the Mountains and Foothills where recreation, forestry, wildlife and watershed values are predominant, and Northeast Alberta, where wildlife and forestry values exist.

Coinciding with these regions are three major disturbance types:

1) Plains: Coal Mines operated in conjunction with electrical generating plants,

2) Mountains and Foothills: Metallurgical coal mines and high quality thermal coal for export, and

3) Northeast Alberta: Oil sand mining and extraction facilities.

While it is expected that research results will often have province-wide application, the division of the program among the three biophysical regions has proven helpful in identifying priorities and directions for the research program.

THE RESEARCH PROGRAM

Following is a summary of research projects as of March, 1986. Project titles are followed by the names of the principal investigators and their affiliation.

Upon project completion a final report is submitted. Some final reports are published intact as RRTAC Reports and released to the public. Others are held pending their inclusion in comprehensive RRTAC Reports.

1. PLAINS

Reclamation Objectives

To return crop land to pre-mining levels of productivity. Productivity is taken to include both agricultural and hydrologic capabilities.

The Problem

The plains coal seams are usually overlain by bedrocks which contain a high proportion of swelling clays. When exposed to the elements the bedrock shales and sandstones break down into a material that is sticky when wet and very hard when dry. This severely hinders plant growth and agricultural operations. Above the bedrock lie glacial deposits which are usually free of the most adverse properties of the bedrock though they lack the plant nutrients and organic matter characteristic of the overlying topsoil.

Rebuilding a soil profile is one of the major problems in cropland reclamation in Alberta. A soil must be built on levelled overburden which will be as productive as the original soil. Existing evidence indicates that placing topsoil and a buffering material over the spoil is the most efficient method for achieving this goal (Figure 1). However, we do not know exactly how much capping material is needed in order to bring back the original level of agricultural capability. Also, where topsoils or subsoils are in short supply other amendments may be useful in soil building. In addition to soil reconstruction, certain agricultural practices like green manuring and legume cropping may help rebuild the soil.

In many plains areas the coal seams are the major source of domestic water. What will happen when the coal is removed and overburden fills the mined-out pit? The behavior of the post-mining groundwater system will affect water users and could influence future agricultural potential. However, prior to the Reclamation Research Program little was known about the effects of surface mining on groundwater in Alberta.

Mining on Agricultural Land

Cropland is surface mined in two major zones in Alberta: The Ardley Zone running southeast from Mayerthorpe to Red Deer and the Horseshoe Canyon Zone which runs parallel and to the east from Barrhead through Camrose to Drumheller. The Ardley Zone is surface mined near Lake Wabamun to provide coal to the Wabamun, Sundance and Keephills power plants. An additional power plant, Genesee, is presently under construction and will extend mining southward to the town of Genesee. The Horseshoe Canyon Zone is mined on either side of the Battle River between Forestburg and Halkirk. These mines supply the Battle River Generating...
StatiOn. Another power plant and mine have been developed to the south at Sheerness. (Figure 2).

Approach

Mining in the Ardley and Horseshoe Canyon Coal Zones involves different sets of soils, overburden and climate. Also, soil reconstruction and groundwater cannot be studied in isolation. For example, if after mining a saline watertable re-establishes within a foot of the soil surface the topsoil, regardless of its original quality, will quickly become unsuitable for crops. So in both the Ardley and Horseshoe Canyon zones we are identifying the best methods of reconstructing soils and at the same time we are studying what happens to the groundwater after mining. Table 1 summarizes our priorities and research activities in the Plains.

**RECLAMATION OF COAL MINED LAND**

**Plains Coal Reclamation Research Program**

The Plains Coal Reclamation Research Program (PCRRP) has been designed by the Provincial Government and members of the Coal Industry to answer questions relating to groundwater and soil reconstruction in both of our Plains Coal Mining Zones. Two main projects have been established:

1. **The Plains Soil Reconstruction Project**
   - Will tell us how to rebuild agricultural soils after mining and

2. **The Plains Hydrology and Reclamation Project**
   - Will describe what happens to groundwater during mining and after reclamation and how to rebuild the landscape to maximize the agricultural potential.

By combining the results of these experiments we will develop a picture of how mined landscapes work and how they can be designed to ensure the return of their original values.

These projects are installed both at the Highvale and Battle River mining areas. Both are designed for five years of intensive study with the possibility for further monitoring beyond that period.

The program has been jointly designed and managed by Provincial Government and Coal Industry personnel. The projects are also funded by both Industry and Government. For example, Alberta Power Ltd., Luscar Ltd., Manalta Coal Ltd. and TransAlta Utilities Ltd. constructed the Test Plots at Battle River and Highvale while research and maintenance activities for the Soil Reconstruction and Hydrology Programs are supported by the Provincial Government.

1. **Battle River Soil Reconstruction Project (82-5-LES)**
   - L.A. Leskiw, Pedology Consultants Ltd.

2. **Highvale Soil Reconstruction Project (82-13-SCN)**
   - L.A. Panek, Monenco Consultants Ltd.

Grain and forage yields are being evaluated on a series of soil reconstruction plots at the Battle River and Highvale Mining Areas. Treatments include: depth of subsoil (0 to 3m thicknesses) over sodic spoil, use of bottom ash and gypsum as amendments to sodic spoil, and reconstruction of solonetzic soils. Salt movement over spoil slopes is also being studied. The project began in 1979 at Battle River and construction was complete at Highvale in 1982. (See Figures 3, 4)

**Status**

- Cropping and Soil Sampling began at Battle River in 1982 and we now have five crop years of data. The first crop was grown at Highvale in 1983. Each site will be studied intensively for at least five years.

3. **Plains Hydrology and Reclamation Project (79-2-MOR)**
   - S.R. Moran, Alberta Research Council

This study examines the impacts of surface mining on the regional groundwater, geology and soils. The main objectives include the identification of: 1) changes in groundwater quality and quantity after mining, 2) sources and release rates of groundwater contaminants (mainly salts), 3) changes in distribution of groundwater after mining (will the groundwater carry salts to the surface, will the watertable drop or will it re-establish at premining levels) and 4) surface stability after mining (subsidence, piping).

Critical groundwater and geologic parameters will be identified which should allow us to predict the "reclamability" of a site prior to mining. This project began in 1979 and, like the Plains Soil Reconstruction Project, it is duplicated at the Battle River and Highvale Sites.

**Status**: Phase 1

During 1979-80, the first year of the five-year Phase 1, instrumentation to collect basic data on the geology, subsurface water, and soils of the Battle River mining area was installed. Mapping of the soil and geology and a program of testhole drilling were also included.
in the initial phase of premining site characterization. In spite of a late start during the first year, most of the instrumentation that was planned was installed but virtually no data could be collected.

During the second year (1980-81) approximately 90 percent of the instrumentation needed to characterize the Battle River site was completed. Monitoring programs that were begun in the very late stages of 1979-80 were continued throughout 1980-81. A considerable body of data on properties of overburden materials and chemistry and movement of subsurface water was accumulated by the end of 1980-81. In addition, experimental studies were initiated to describe the total salt yielding properties of various types of overburden materials under a range of conditions of water content and oxygen access.

During 1981-82, experimental studies on physical and chemical weathering of overburden materials at the Battle River site were carried out. Various physical and chemical properties of the overburden, such as grain size and mineralogy, were determined and were related to weathering characteristics. Field studies were essentially completed to define hydrologic conditions at the Battle River site. Unanticipated complexities in flow patterns in spoil at Diplomat Mine, combined with problems with experimental techniques used to measure infiltration in spoil required further work on spoil hydrology at that site. Significant progress was made in the computer modeling of mine-affected groundwater-flow systems. Study of post-mining groundwater supply potential was nearly completed and generalizable conclusions began. Preliminary synthesis of project data into a two component predictive model was possible. A group of hydrologic parameters that will permit projection of post-mining water-table configuration was identified and evaluation of their interactions had advanced well. The chemical component of the model had not advanced as far but the basic elements were identified.

During 1982-83, evaluation of a second site, the Highvale site, began with the installation of hydrologic monitoring instrumentation. Major effort focused on evaluation of hydrogeology and chemistry of mine spoil at both the Highvale and the Whitewood Mine. In addition, the existing network of wells installed by TransAlta Utilities to monitor groundwater in the unmined area adjacent to the Highvale Mine was expanded. A series of sites to monitor water movement in the unsaturated zone was installed. Initial study of the weathering characteristics of overburden was begun.

At the Battle River site, new instrumentation was installed to refine our understanding of spoil hydrology and the flow of groundwater from the spoil into adjacent aquifers. Infiltration tests were successfully completed in the spoil and improved techniques of analysis greatly increased the amount of data that was derived from these and preceding tests. These data combined with groundwater data improved our understanding of groundwater recharge in the area. Overburden weathering studies were completed and a preliminary procedure to relate weathering data to groundwater chemistry in spoil was developed. Groundwater-flow modeling provided some significant insight into the spoil resaturation process. Settlement of interridge areas following grading appears to facilitate infiltration of surface water, which promotes further settlement.

The fifth year of the study (1983-84) served as a period of refinement of the predictive framework developed at the Battle River site. At the Highvale Mine site, instrumentation was completed and field scale experiments installed at both sites to test the validity of the overall predictive framework on salt yield of the overburden.

Status: Phase II

The second phase of the project, which has a scheduled duration of three years, began in 1984-85. The objectives of the second phase of study were reformulated from the objectives of Phase I to reflect the knowledge gaps identified in Phase I. The three subobjectives of this second phase focus on (1)
design and construction of reclaimed landscapes that maximize long-term agricultural capability by minimizing potential salinization through the management of water in the landscape; (2) assessing changes in agricultural capability and productivity of reclaimed landscapes on a long-term basis; and (3) refining our understanding of groundwater chemistry and recharge in reclaimed landscapes, as well as groundwater-induced salinization effects adjacent to reclaimed areas.

Work during 1984-85 has focused on installation of intensive instrumentation in a number of slope and depressional settings in reclaimed and analogous unmined sites, primarily in the three mines at the Battle River site. Only preliminary data have been accumulated from this new instrumentation. This report describes the completed instrumentation and summarizes the status of data collection and synthesis.

Work planned in 1985-86 will complete the planned instrumentation early in the year and then concentrate on monitoring. Considerable work is planned to further the synthesis of data accumulated during the year and to incorporate these data into interpretive models developed as part of Phase I.

In 1986-87, data collection will continue, but the major activities will focus on re-synthesis of models of infiltration and groundwater recharge, salt and water movement, and surface stability in reclaimed landscapes. It is hoped that firm recommendations on design parameters for reclaimed landscapes will be possible at the end of this second phase of the study. This phase of study will also develop recommendations to the government and industry for long-term data collection and synthesis activities that are necessary to monitor the performance of the models developed by the project to assure that the reclaimed landscape is functioning as intended.

Results: Reports # RTAC 86-2 RTAC 86-3 RTAC 86-4 RTAC 86-5 RTAC 86-6 RTAC 86-7

4. Physical and Chemical changes in Stockpiled Topsoil (79-26-FUJ)
J. Fujikawa, Technical Development Branch, Alberta Environment

A topsoil stockpile near Bow City, Alberta, had been monitored along with undisturbed topsoil to determine whether adverse changes occur during storage. Ultimately this line of research will indicate how long topsoil can be stored before deterioration occurs.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Report 75-26-FUJ. No significant adverse changes were measured during three years of monitoring.

G.W. Hodgson, University of Calgary

These projects examined the organic chemistry and microbiology of mine spoil weathering and were instituted to complement the inorganic chemistry approach taken in the Plains Hydrology Reclamation Project.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 80-3

FLY & BOTTOM ASH DISPOSAL

Three studies were conducted to characterize Alberta Coal Ashes and to assess their effects on soils and crops.

6. Chemical Characteristics of Bottom Ash and Fly Ash From Four Alberta Power Stations (78-2-MCC)
D. McCoy, Technical Development Branch, Alberta Environment

7. Plant Nutrient-Agronomic Potential of Coal Ash (78-20-MCC)
D. McCoy, Technical Development Branch, Alberta Environment

8. Physical and Chemical Characterization of Fly Ash (78-5-P/R)
D. Pluth, J. Robertson, Soils Dept., University of Alberta

Ash samples were collected over a period of time and analysed to determine if fly and bottom ash chemistry varied among various coal seams and power plants, and whether ash from a given source varies significantly through time. Various rates of fly ash and bottom ash were mixed with two soils and a sodic spoil
to determine whether ash addition can enhance growth of barley and alfalfa. Fly Ash from five sources around Alberta were surveyed for physical and chemical properties. Plant toxicities were evaluated in greenhouse studies.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 81-3

9. Use of Bottom Ash as an Amendment to Sodic Spoil (83-4-LAN)
S. Landsburg, Alberta Environment

Prior to the current practice of soil salvage and replacement surface mined land in the Plains generally reverted to waste land. This was particularly true where sodic overburden was encountered. Reclamation of these orphaned areas in the absence of suitable soil requires amendment of the sodic spoil surface. Perhaps the most promising amendment appears to be bottom ash from the nearby power plants. Earlier research indicated that this waste product possesses the ability to significantly improve sodic spoil. This project will identify, through field testing, which ash amendment rates and incorporation methods are most effective in developing at least minimal productive potential in orphaned sodic spoil.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Report 83-4-LAN

OIL & GAS DISTURBANCES

10. Evaluation of Pipeline Reclamation Practices on Agricultural Land (81-1-HAS)
W.J. Hastie, Hardy Associates (1978) Ltd.

This study addressed the effects of construction and reclamation of three pipeline sizes: 56", 36" and 10". A description of the major types of pipeline construction and reclamation techniques was prepared and their difference relative to surface disturbance noted for various soil zones, types and agricultural land uses.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 83-3

11. Disposal of KCl Drilling Muds, (85-9-LLO)
L. Leskiw, Pedology Consultants

This literature review evaluated options for drilling fluid disposal and indicated associated effects on Soils and Vegetation. Most primary disposal methods were identified and a field manual of disposal methods was developed. Areas regarding further research were identified. A technical review of existing guidelines was also conducted.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Report 85-9-LLO

2. MOUNTAINS AND FOOTHILLS

Reclamation Objectives

1) Control of erosion on a wide variety of disturbances including coal mines, mineral exploration sites, coal ash pits and oil and gas well sites.

2) Return of forested lands to previous levels of productivity.

3) Return of wildlife range to previous levels of productivity.

The Problem

Reclamationists in the mountains and foothills face a wide variety of conditions. While some foothill sites are characterized by subdued terrain and moderate climate other sites, particularly in the mountains, present steep slopes, rocky spoil and a short growing season. On these problem sites summer droughts, chinook winds, erodible slopes and nutrient-poor soils are common.

On lower elevation foothill sites reclamation is expected to yield forests with commercial potential while on the higher elevation mountain sites erosion control, development of wildlife habitat and recreation potential are the reclamation objectives.

Reclaimed land in the mountains and foothills will not be as intensively managed as reclaimed agricultural lands would be. Also, topsoils and subsoil layers tend to be thin and difficult to handle selectively. They nonetheless are a valuable source of plant nutrients. So while some mixing of horizons is inevitable how much is acceptable? How thick should the replaced soil layer be? Other sites simply have no salvageable topsoil and Reclamation must prepare the site so that a plant-soil system will develop which will perform its land use expectations without indefinite fertilization.

In 1984 the Coal Association of Canada and RRTAC initiated the jointly-funded Mountain and Foothills Reclamation Research Program (MFRP). The program's initial objectives
focus on Water Management, Soil Reconstruction, Reforestation and Wildlife Habitat Development. Projects 2, 3, 17 and 18 represent the first two year's activities in MFRRP.

Table 2 indicates our priorities and program summary in the Mountains and Foothills.

LANDSCAPE DESIGN

One of the most important aspects of Reclamation in the Mountains and Foothills is creation of stable reclaimable landscapes. This includes dump design (optimal slope angles and lengths, terracing) and pit backfilling. Another critical aspect of landscape design involves the creation of new water-sheds. These must be designed to control water flows and siltation of surrounding waters.

1. Mountain and Foothill Dump Design (82-14-CHO)
R.G. Chopiuk, Coal Mining Research Center

In Phase I resloped overburden dumped in Alberta and British Columbia were surveyed to identify practical construction and resloping strategies. It was found that many though not all dumps were graded to shallower slopes (22-24°) than had been designed (27°). Operator and machine limitations were cited.

In Phase II a number of slopes were instrumented to identify the volumes of erosional loss due to various slope angles, lengths, berms and topsoilings.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Report 82-14-CHO

2. Analysis of Settling Pond Design and Alternative Technologies (84-12-MON)
A.H. Somani, Monenco Consultants Ltd.

Methods for design and construction of settling ponds were evaluated with respect to Mountain and Foothill conditions. Also, alternatives to settling ponds were assessed.

Status: Completed
Results: Report #RRTAC 86-1

SOIL RECONSTRUCTION

Soil Reconstruction in the Mountains and Foothills involves making the best use of limited soil materials. The objective is to improve the Root Zone over Rocky and Nutrient-Poor Spoils. At Grande Cache coal ash disposal sites must be revegetated with implications for building a soil on the ash surface.

Of particular interest is the reinstatement of commercial forest capability on mined land. Three test plots have been established in the Foothills by Luster Ltd., Union Oil of Canada Ltd. and Crow's Nest Resources Ltd. which compare various thicknesses and blends of soil materials for commercial tree growth. These projects were developed in consultation with RRTAC and are supported entirely by company funds.

Several projects conducted by the Reforestation & Reclamation Branch of the Alberta Forest Service have met their initial objectives. The results are published in Report # RRTAC 85-1. These plots remain available should additional monitoring intervals be required.

3. Soil Reconstruction in Mountain and Foothill Coal Mining (84-23-PED)
L. Knapik, Pedocan Land Evaluation Ltd.

This review assembled available information to facilitate operational reclamation decisions to provide for tree growth (forestry use), shrub growth (wildlife habitat) and herbaceous cover (erosion control). This review also identified information gaps and recommended needed research.

Status: Ongoing.

4. Revegetation of Ash Disposal Sites, Grande Cache (79-1-MAC)
T.M. Macyk, Alberta Research Council

This project identified the thickness of capping material over coal ash required to support an erosion-controlling cover crop. This was a co-operative project with McIntyre Mines Ltd.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Report 79-1-MAC. With thirty cm of capping soil (overburden) good plant growth and cover were observed. Increasing depths of capping soil gave no increase in yields.

REVEGETATION

Reclamation programs may have several objectives in the Mountains and Foothills including development of forestry capability, wildlife habitat and watershed values. Our objective is to develop revegetation methods which will meet objectives without long-term maintenance. Within the revegetation problem area priorities have been identified for herbaceous cover (species selection, erosion control, fertilization, nutrients) and for shrub and tree cover (propagation, establishment).
SPECIES SELECTION

5. Selection of Native Grasses for Reclamation (79-7-WEI)
R. Hermesh, Alberta Environment Centre

Many alpine and subalpine sites are difficult to reclaim simply because commercially available grasses and legumes either cannot survive or reproduce in the short growing season. This study is selecting lines of native grasses which are known to invade disturbances in the subalpine and alpine. Selections are made on the basis of commercial potential and fitness for reclamation.

Status: Ongoing
Results: Three lines of Agropyron species are presently undergoing testing for licencing application with the Canadian Department of Agriculture.

P.F. Ziemkiewicz, Alberta Energy and Natural Resources

By early 1979 the above study had produced nine selected lines of native grass species. To ensure that the selected lines had not lost their tolerance to high-elevation conditions in the process of development, a series of test plots was established: 1) to evaluate their fitness to subalpine and alpine conditions, 2) to compare their performance against selected agronomic grasses and 3) to evaluate their respective fertilizer requirements.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 85-1

7. Evaluation of Native-Agronomic Grass/Legume Mixes for Reclamation of Subalpine Disturbances (78-18-RUS)
H. Tomm, Alberta Forest Service

Certain agronomic grasses and legumes are known to quickly establish an erosion-controlling cover while native grasses generally grow more slowly. This experiment tests which of several native/agronomic seed mixes provides the best combination of erosion-control and long-term stability.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 85-1

8. Survey of Alberta Forest Service Native Grass Trials (82-12-TOM)
M. Mihaliovich

Between 1975 and 1977 a number of test plots were established in the Mountains and Foothills from Alpine to Boreal Forest conditions. Native Grass, Tree and Shrub container plantings were tested as well as various topsoiling treatments. Other treatments included island transplants and various agronomic legumes. This study was undertaken in 1982 to: 1) Document the location of the plots and 2) gather and synthesize all available information.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 85-1

EROSION CONTROL

S.K. Takyi, Alberta Forest Service

This study compares different seeding methods for native grass mixes (hydroseeding, broadcasting and raking, simulated drilling). Also various erosion-controlling mats, mulches and contouring treatments are evaluated.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 85-1

10. Survey of Alberta Forest Service Reclamation Sites (78-1-LAR)
G. LaRoi, University of Alberta, Forest Science Department

Reclamation roads and drilling sites were surveyed in 1978 to assess revegetation success 4 to 7 years after treatment. Permanent sampling plots were established which may be reassessed in the future to better understand plant succession on reclaimed sites.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Report 78-1-LAR
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11. Factors which Accelerate Development of Stable Nutrient Cycles (82-11-Z/T)

P.F. Ziemkiewicz, S.K. Takyi, Alberta Energy & Natural Resources

The effects of topsoiling, Species Selection (Native vs Agronomic, Grass vs Legume) and Maintenance Fertilizer Regime are evaluated on a Subalpine Coal Mine with regard to plant development, distribution, uptake and cycling of nutrients.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 85-1

12. Survey to Determine the Occurrence of Microbial N-fixing Root Sheaths (79-8-L/R)

M.J. Rowell, Norwest Soil Ltd.

Preliminary work suggested that root sheaths may be a significant source of N-fixation for grasses growing in nutrient-poor soils. This survey was commissioned to look for root sheath occurrence on reclaimed and undisturbed areas. Correlations were made between plant vigor and root sheath occurrence. N-fixing rhizosheaths were found on grasses growing on disturbed sites more commonly than on undisturbed sites. Future work may involve isolation and identification of the N-fixing organisms. When available, the resulting inocula would be tested under field conditions to determine whether they have value as a reclamation treatment.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Report 78-8-L/R

13. Uptake of Nitrogen by Native Grasses (78-3-M/P)

D. Paton, University of Alberta

It is often assumed that native grasses require less nutrient than their agronomic counterparts. This study compared the nitrogen uptake rates of native and agronomic grasses to learn more about their nutrient requirements.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Report 78-3-M/P

14. Native Shrub Seed Propagation Testing Project (80-16-KIN)

P. King, Alberta Forest Service

Methods for collecting, handling and treating seed of native shrub species with potential for reclamation will be evaluated to maximize propagation success.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 85-1

15. Factors Affecting Germination of Buffaloberry (82-1-K/H)

C. Keating, University of Alberta, Forestry Department

Buffaloberry (Shepherdia canadensis) is a valuable shrub for reclamation, but it is difficult to propagate. This study investigated methods for enhancing germination of Buffaloberry seed so that stock may be produced in large quantities for mine reclamation.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Report 81-1-K/H

16. Optimization of Erosion Control and Reforestation Potential of Reclaimed Areas (79-16-MTH)

P. King, Alberta Forest Service

This study will identify the level of grass/legume cover which will prevent erosion and permit tree seedling growth. This study will also identify methods for predicting the optimal levels of herbaceous cover.

Status: Ongoing
Results: Report # RRTAC 85-1

17. Growth Performance of Commercial Timber Species in Reclamation (84-28-DEH)

W.R. Dempster and Associates Ltd.

The purpose of this study was to review and report, from available literature, growth performance data that could be used to develop standards expected of commercial timber species for reclaimed lands in the Eastern Slopes of Alberta.

Study Parameters included:

1. Rates of juvenile growth, and appropriate measurement techniques and timing, required to provide reasonable assurance of successful reclamation;

2. The impact of site and cultural factors on growth performance, and the extent to which growth can be predicted from these factors;

3. Recommendations for the development of growth performance standards or guidelines including growth rate expectations, assessment methodology, the length of time required to assess performance, and the extent and acceptability of management inputs;

4. Further research required to assist in the formation of growth standards and guidelines.
18. Reclamation for Wildlife Habitat in The Mountains and Foothills of Alberta (84-18-LGL)
J.E. Green, LGL Ltd.

This Study addressed: the synthesis of current information on habitat requirements of major wildlife species in the Mountains and Foothills of Alberta, an evaluation and collation of information on reclamation procedures for wildlife habitat, the applicability of these methods to Alberta, and the development of criteria for the assessment of wildlife habitat reclamation procedures for certification purposes.

Status: Ongoing

3. NORTHEAST ALBERTA

Reclamation Objectives

1) To develop techniques whereby a self-sustaining, erosion-free cover can be established on oil sand tailings pond dykes.

2) To return tailings sand storage and overburden dumps to productive forest.

The Problem

Northeast Alberta contains all of Canada's surface-mineable oil sands. Extraction of this valuable petroleum resource presents several problems for reclamation. By far the greatest problem lies with the tailings disposal systems currently employed.

At the end of its 25 year life a typical oil sand plant producing 19,900 cubic meters per day (125,000 barrels per day) of synthetic oil will require a 22 to 31 square kilometer (8.5 to 12 square miles) tailing pond. The liquid contents of the pond will consist primarily of 360 million cubic meters of sludge. The sludge will remain in a liquid state indefinitely and will be impounded behind dykes built of tailings sand. Dyke heights will reach from 55 to 100 meters above ground level. Erosion protection will be provided by a cover of grasses, legumes and shrubs. Because the tailings sand is devoid of nutrients and drains rapidly, amending materials (Huskeg, certain mineral overburdens) will be added to begin development of a soil.

Pits left after mining will be filled with tailings sand and overburden dumps will be constructed. These sites will also be reclaimed to a designated land use. Soil reconstruction and woody plant establishment in particular need further research.

Approach

As in the mountains and foothills, proper reclamation in Northeast Alberta will produce landform and plant community combinations which will fulfill their land use requirements without indefinite maintenance.

In order to pool resources and avoid duplication of effort, RRTAC and the industry's Oil Sands Environmental Study Group (OSESG) initiated a joint Reclamation Research Program. Three priority areas have been identified:

1) Woody Plant Research
2) Soil Reconstruction
3) Equipment Development.

The Woody Plant Research Program is now underway and test plots for the Soil Reconstruction Research Program were constructed in 1983.

SOIL RECONSTRUCTION ON TAILINGS SAND

1. Soil Reconstruction Project: Phase I
Literature Review (79-24-OSE)
R. Johnson, Montreal Engineering Co. Ltd.

This joint OSESG/RRTAC project identified available soil-building materials in the Oil Sands area and proposed several combinations of these materials to form productive soils. Phase I, which was a literature review and analysis of existing information, was funded in 1979/80. The review identified treatments for the Test Plot Program which will constitute Phase II of the Soil Reconstruction Project.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # OSESG/RRTAC 82-1

2. Soil Reconstruction Project: Phase II
Field Trials (83-10-HAR)

Field trials were established on a 7 ha pad of tailings sand prepared by Syncrude Canada Ltd. on their property north of Ft. McMurray. Various mixtures of peat and clay were incorporated to 20 and 40 cm depths. In 1984 the plots will be planted with tree and shrub species to identify the best soil prescription for a particular forest cover type.

Status: Plot construction completed, seedlings planted, two years of monitoring completed.
3. Reinstatement of Biological Activity in Reconstructed Soils (81-3-PAR)

D. Parkinson, University of Calgary

The nature of micro organism establishment on reconstructed spoils was investigated in a series of field and laboratory studies. Emphasis centered on Fungal Decomposers and Mycorrhizal Fungi and the influence of various amendments (peat, sewage sludge, inorganic fertilizers) on their populations.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 84-4

4. Mycorrhizal Potential in Reconstructed Soils (81-2-PAR)

D. Parkinson, University of Calgary

Mycorrhizal Fungi nearly always enhance tree nutrition. This project has determined the survival and inoculum potential of Mycorrhizal Fungi in undisturbed and stockpiled peat to be used in oil sand tailing reclamation. Also, Fungal species have been selected for use in Jack Pine seedling inoculation. Their effect on tree growth is being monitored in field trials.

Status: Ongoing

5. Selection of Tree and Shrub Varieties for Oil Sand Reclamation (79-13-DUN)

S.K. Takyi, P. King, Alberta Forest Service

In 1979 a test site in the oil sands area was planted with varieties of native and exotic tree and shrub species to help select those most suitable for oil sands reclamation.

Status: Ongoing

6. Survey Establishment and Maintenance Procedures (81-4-P/F)

R. Hermesh, Techman Engineering Ltd.

7. Review of Propagation Methods (81-5-WAT)

R. Hermesh, Techman Engineering Ltd.

8. Seed Collection for Shrub & Tree Trials (81-6-K/K)

D.J. Klym, Syncrude Inc., P. King, Alberta Forest Service

9. Review of Sampling Methods (81-7-ROW)

M.J. Rowell, Norwest Soils Ltd.

These four Projects were preliminary to establishment of Field Trials in 1983. All were jointly supported by OSESG and RRTAC.

Status: Completed
Results: Final Reports: 81-6-Y/K, 81-7-ROW
Reports #OSESG/RRTAC 83-5
OSESG/RRTAC 84-1

GENERAL

A number of projects will yield results applicable to reclamation problems throughout Alberta. These projects are listed below.

Projects

1. Reclamation Review (78-6-SIM)

H.P. Sims, Alberta Environment

This project will compile information from the international reclamation literature pertinent to Alberta. The Reclamation Review will not only serve as a handbook of reclamation techniques, it will also identify gaps in our reclamation knowledge and point the way for future research. It may be accessed via the Computerized Search Guide/Bibliography and the text "Land Surface Reclamation: A Review of International literature".

Status: Completed
Results: Reports # RRTAC 82-1
RRTAC 84-1

2. Reclamation Activities in Alberta (80-15-SIM)

H.P. Sims, Alberta Environment

Reclamation and reclamation research activity in Alberta were surveyed and presented in report form.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 81-2

3. Cross Reference for Reclamation Review (80-13-WAL)

D.C. Walker, David Walker Assoc. Ltd.

This project provided a cross reference of keywords, authors and subjects as part of the Reclamation Review.

Status: Completed
Results: Report # RRTAC 82-1
4. Species Suitability Manual (79-5-W/P)
   L.E. Watson, Techman Ltd.

   This manual catalogued available information on the many species used as usable in reclamation. This will help Reclamationists design seed mixes which are better adapted to their particular needs.

   Status: Completed
   Results: Report # RRTAC 80-5
            Vol. I Grasses
            Vol. II Forbs, Shrubs & Trees
DEPOSITION RESEARCH REPORTS

AUTHOR(S): S.D. Cameron et al.
DESCRIPTION: This is a literature review of the chemistry of soil and spoil, the changes expected to occur in productivity, 66 p.

*2. TITLE: Proceedings: Workshop on Reclamation of Forest Sites.
AUTHOR(S): P.F. Zielinski, S.E. Tohill, R.F. Begor
DESCRIPTION: Experts in the field of forestry and forest soils report on research related to forest site preparation and reclamation, 160 p.

AUTHOR(S): E.L. Barton, R.W. Pentrie, P.F. Folster
DESCRIPTION: Forty-three acres, sixteen fork and thirty-five shrub 3rd species are presented in terms of their fitness for use in reclamation. Range, growth, water relations, and availability are included. 160 p.

*4. TITLE: 1980 Survey of Reclamation Activities in Alberta
AUTHOR(S): W.E. White, R.J. Mathewson
DESCRIPTION: This survey is an update of the original report conducted in 1976 on reclamation activities in Alberta, including Research and Operational Reclamation, locations, personnel etc. 76 p.

*5. TITLE: Proceedings: Workshop on Coal Ash and Slag.
AUTHOR(S): F.S. Zielinski, R.L. Stoffer, D.J. Litwack
DESCRIPTION: Presents nine technical papers on the Chemical, Physical, and Engineering Properties of Alberta Fly and Bottom Ashes. Reclamation of land disposal sites and the use of ash as a soil amendment. Workshop discussions and summaries are also included.

AUTHOR(S): R.H. Simc, C.R. Power
DESCRIPTION: Literature pertinent to reclamation in Alberta is listed in Vol. 1 and also on the University of Alberta's Computer Science. The key word Index and Computer Access Manual complements Vol. 1. 286 p.

AUTHOR(S): C.R. Power, R.H. Simc
DESCRIPTION: This bibliography provides baseline information for problems involved in Reclamation Activities in the Preparation of Environmental Impact Assessments. Materials, up to date as of Dec. 1982, are available from the Alberta Environmental Library. 97 p.

*8. TITLE: Soil Reclamation Design for Reclamation of Oil Sand Sites.
AUTHOR(S): Coastal Consultants Ltd.
DESCRIPTION: Volume of soil and solid tailings required to avoid oil and solid tailings were estimated based on existing literature. Analyzed surface, soil properties, economic costs for surface, and potential reclamation costs, 156 p.

AUTHOR(S): M.A. Associates (1976) Ltd.
DESCRIPTION: Available information on Pipeline Reclamation practices was reviewed. A field survey was then conducted to determine the effects of pipe size, age, soil type, vegetation, and method, on resulting crop reproduction. 226 p.
19. TITLE: A Critical Analysis of Settling Pond Design and Alternative Technologies
AUTHOR: A. MacKay
DESCRIPTION: This is the final report resulting from the Mountain & foothills Reclamation Research Program. The Coal Association of Canada contributed 50% of the funds. The report examines the critical issues of Settling Pond Design and Staging and Alternative Technologies. An executive summary outlines the findings. 372 p.

20. TITLE: Characterization and Variability of Soil Reconstructed after Surface Mining in Central Alberta
AUTHOR: T.H. MacKay
DESCRIPTION: Reconstructed soils representing different materials handling and replacement techniques were characterized and variability in chemical and physical properties was assessed. The data obtained indicate that reconstructed soil properties are determined largely by parent material characteristics and further tested by materials handling procedures. Mining tends to create a relatively homogeneous soil landscape in contrast to the mixture of diverse soils found before mining. 146 p.

AUTHOR: M.R. Trudell, S.R. Mean
DESCRIPTION: In the plains region of Alberta, the surface mining of coal generally occurs in rural, agricultural areas in which domestic water supply requirements are met almost entirely by groundwater. Consequently, an important aspect of the capability of reclaimed lands to satisfy the needs of a residential component is the post-mining availability of groundwater. The report proposes a sequence of steps or procedures to identify and characterize potential post-mining aquifers. 30 p.

22. TITLE: Geology of the Battle River Sites: Plains Hydrology and Reclamation Project
AUTHOR: A. MacKay-Schuster, H.S. Panton, S.R. Mean
DESCRIPTION: This report summarizes the geological setting of the Battle River study site. It is designed to provide a general understanding of geological conditions adequate to establish a framework for hydrogeological and general reclamation studies. The report is not intended to be a detailed analysis such as would be required for mine planning purposes. 84 p.

Available from: Publication Services
Queen's Printer
11310 Kingsway Avenue
EDMONTON, ALBERTA T5G 1P3

* A $5.00 fee is charged for handling and postage.
** A $20.00 fee is charged for handling and postage.

Please make cheques payable to: "Provincial Treasurer of Alberta"
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FIGURE 3b COMPOUND LAYOUT, BATTLE RIVER SOIL RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT

FIGURE 2b PLOT LAYOUT - SUBSOIL DEPTH EXPERIMENT, BATTLE RIVER SOIL RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT
FIGURE 3d. PLOT LAYOUT – TORLEA SOIL EXPERIMENT, BATTLE RIVER SOIL RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT

FIGURE 3e. PLOT LAYOUT – BOTTOM ASH EXPERIMENT, BATTLE RIVER SOIL RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT
FIGURE 31. PLOT LAYOUT - SLOPE DRAINAGE EXPERIMENT, BATTLE RIVER SOIL RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT.
A: ALFALFA (TRAMBLEX) AND BROMEGRASS (CHARLTON)
B: BARLEY (KLONDIKE)
0.0: NO SUBSOIL
0.25: 0.25m SUBSOIL
0.50: 0.50m SUBSOIL
1.00: 1.00m SUBSOIL
1.50: 1.50m SUBSOIL
3.00: 3.00m SUBSOIL

FIGURE 4a.
HIGHVALE SOIL RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT
SUBSOIL EXPERIMENT LAYOUT

FIGURE 4b.
HIGHVALE SOIL RECONSTRUCTION PROJECT
SLOPE EXPERIMENT LAYOUT
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