Background - Laws and Regulations

Bats in Arizona, and 26 other states, protected by state and federal laws and regulations

- Endangered Species Act
- Federal land management agencies sensitive bat species
- Arizona
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Background - Status of Bats

- Bat populations declining worldwide
- Challenges to bat conservation
- Bats frequently overlooked or ignored
- Few publications on mammals, especially bats, in Arizona
Bat Habitat in the Southwest

- Essential habitat components
- 19 of the 28 bat species in Arizona known to roost in mines
Bats That Rely on Inactive Mine Features in Arizona

- Lesser long-nosed bat (*Leptonycteris curasoae yerbabuenae*)
- Cave myotis (*Myotis velifer*)
- Yuma myotis (*Myotis yumanensis*)
- Big brown bat (*Eptesicus fuscus*)
- Pallid bat (*Antrozous pallidus*)
- Townsend’s big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii*)
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Bats That Rely on Inactive Mine Features in Arizona (cont’d)

- Allen’s big-eared bat (*Idionycteris phyllotis*)
- Mexican long-tongued bat (*Choeronycteris mexicana*)
- Mexican free-tailed bat (*Tadarida brasiliensis*)
- California leaf-nosed bat (*Macrotus californicus*)
Other Bats that May Roost in Mines in Arizona

- California myotis (*Myotis californicus*)
- Western small-footed myotis (*Myotis ciliolabrum*)
- Fringed myotis (*Myotis thysanodes*)
- Long-legged myotis (*Myotis volans*)
- Canyon bat (*Parastrellus hesperus*)
- Ghost-faced bat (*Mormoops megalophylla*)
Threats to Mine-Roosting Bats

- Roost disturbance and destruction
  - Can be devastating
  - Has led to loss of maternity colonies and population declines
- Loss of foraging areas and adequate water resources

BCI workshop, May 2012
Introduction and Analysis Area

Purpose of study

- Conduct baseline study to determine use of analysis area by bats as part of long-range planning effort
Introduction and Analysis Area

- Privately owned and Bureau of Land Management (BLM)-administered lands in Pima County, Arizona
- Semidesert Grassland and Arizona Upland subdivision of Sonoran Desertscrub biotic communities
- Elevations 3,400 to 3,800 feet above mean sea level
- Santa Cruz River Valley
Methods

- External surveys vs. internal surveys
  - External - bats usually emerge nightly to feed
  - Internal - can determine past and current use
Methods - External Surveys

Two primary reasons for conducting external surveys:
1. Human safety
2. Bat health
Methods - External Surveys

Completed assessment with passive external portal survey techniques

- Pre-survey screening
- Acoustic surveys
- Visual surveys
Methods - External Pre-Screening Surveys

• External pre-screening surveys of 60 inactive mine features in March 2012

• Mine features had
  • low potential
  • moderate potential
  • presence of bats
Methods - External Pre-Screening Surveys

- 23 sites determined to have a moderate potential for bats, or presence of bats was confirmed
- Remaining 37 sites determined to have low to no potential to serve as bat roosts
Methods – Timing and Frequency of Acoustic and Visual Surveys

- Mine sites may be used by bats at different times of year based on biological requirements of different bat species.

- Several factors can affect bat activity.

- Surveys conducted at different times of year to adequately evaluate potential for a inactive mine to provide roosting habitat for various species of bats:
  - spring
  - summer
  - fall
Methods - Acoustic Surveys

- Completed acoustic surveys using AnaBat acoustic detectors at 23 inactive mine features
- AnaBats deployed at each site before sunset
- Each detector programmed to run from 0.5 hour before sunset to 2 hours after sunset
Methods - Acoustic Surveys

- AnaBats collected data files of high-frequency bat echolocation and social calls
- Files downloaded and identified to species
Methods - Visual Surveys

- Infrared (IR) cameras deployed at each of 23 sites at least twice

- Each site visually monitored by a biologist until dark at least once
## Results - Acoustic Surveys

### Table 1. Summary of Acoustic Survey Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Minutes of Bat Activity</th>
<th>Species Richness*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2012</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2012</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 1 (September) 2012</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2 (October) 2012</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>610</strong></td>
<td><strong>10</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Total does not sum to 10; rather, 10 represents the total number of species identified during the surveys.
## Results - Acoustic Surveys

### Table 2. Bat Species Identified through Acoustic Surveys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Species Code</th>
<th>Listing Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family Vespertilionidae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antrozous pallidus</td>
<td>Pallid bat</td>
<td>ANTPAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corynorhinus townsendii</td>
<td>Townsend’s big-eared bat</td>
<td>CORTOW</td>
<td>SC*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eptesicus fuscus</td>
<td>Big brown bat</td>
<td>EPTFUS</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myotis californicus</td>
<td>California myotis</td>
<td>MYOCAL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myotis ciliolabrum</td>
<td>Western small-footed myotis</td>
<td>MYOCIL</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myotis velifer</td>
<td>Cave myotis</td>
<td>MYOVEL</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myotis yumanensis</td>
<td>Yuma myotis</td>
<td>MYOYUM</td>
<td>SC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parastrellus hesperus</td>
<td>Canyon bat</td>
<td>PARHES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Family Molossidae</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nyctinomops femorosaccus</td>
<td>Pocketed free-tailed bat</td>
<td>NYCFEM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tadarida brasiliensis</td>
<td>Mexican free-tailed bat</td>
<td>TADBRA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Source: AGFD (2010).

Note: SC = ESA Species of Concern; S = BLM Sensitive Species.

* Pale Townsend’s big-eared bat (*Corynorhinus townsendii pallescens*) is SC; however, this subspecies cannot be acoustically differentiated from Townsend’s big-eared bat (*C. townsendii*).
## Results - Acoustic Surveys

### Table 3. Percent Activity of Bat Species for all Sites by Season

| Scientific Name             | Common Name                  | Spring | Summer | Fall (Sept.) | Fall (Oct.) | Total
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|---------|--------------|-------------|-------
| Parastrellus hesperus       | Canyon bat                    | 22     | 61      | 41           | 50          | 35    |
| Tadarida brasiliensis       | Mexican free-tailed bat       | 31     | 26      | 6            | 6           | 24    |
| Myotis ciliolabrum          | Western small-footed myotis   | 24     | 0*      | 23           | 0*          | 17    |
| Eptesicus fuscus            | Big brown bat                 | 15     | 2       | 5            | 0*          | 10    |
| Nyctinomops femorascaccus   | Pocketed free-tailed bat      | 2      | 3       | 3            | 24          | 5     |
| Myotis californicus         | California myotis             | 1      | 5       | 12           | 3           | 3     |
| Corynorhinus townsendii     | Townsend’s big-eared bat      | 2      | 1       | 8            | 4           | 2     |
| Myotis velifer              | Cave myotis                   | 2      | 2       | 0*           | 5           | 2     |
| Myotis yumanensis           | Yuma myotis                   | 0*     | 0*      | 0*           | 8           | 1     |
| Antrozous pallidus          | Pallid bat                    | 0*     | 1       | 3            | 0*          | 1     |

* Accounts for less than 1% of data.

† This column is not the sum of the percent of bat activity per season for each species; rather, it represents the total percent of bat activity during all surveys per species.
Results - Acoustic Surveys

Figure 1. Minutes of bat activity by species in each survey season.
Table 4. Percent Bat Activity by Site and Season

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Type of Feature</th>
<th>Current Land Ownership</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Fall (Sept.)</th>
<th>Fall (Oct.)</th>
<th>Total¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BLM 482</td>
<td>Adit</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM 492</td>
<td>Adit</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC 1</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM 483</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM 14</td>
<td>Adit</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC 2</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State 3</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM 494</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM 23</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC 3</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC 6</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM 15</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM 33</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM 486</td>
<td>Adit</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB 4</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM 496</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB 1</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BLM 485</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>BLM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM 18</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB 5</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM 24</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SM 28</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TB 6</td>
<td>Shaft</td>
<td>private</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0*</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Accounts for less than 1% of data.

¹ This column is not the sum of the percent of bat activity per season for each site; rather, it represents the total percent of bat activity during all surveys per site.

¹ This site was owned by the Arizona State Land Department when surveys began in the analysis area, but a private landowner acquired the deed for this area in September 2012.

¹ During the last fall survey at this location, water was noted at the bottom of the shaft, so this site likely did not provide roosting habitat for bats at this time.
Results - Acoustic Surveys

Figure 2. Minutes of bat activity by site in each survey season.
Results - Acoustic Surveys

- No threatened or endangered bat species observed
- Two species identified as species of concern under ESA
- Two BLM-sensitive species
- Remaining six species not afforded any protection by ESA or BLM
Results - Visual Surveys

- No bats detected in any IR photos or videos
- Only one bat seen exiting an inactive mine feature
- Townsend’s big-eared bats seen roosting in two BLM adits during fall
- Other vertebrates and invertebrates also present at several locations
Discussion and Conclusions

- 10 species detected
- Most bat species detected use analysis area as a spring roost during migration
- Ten sites accounted for more than 75% of all bat activity
- Proximity to good foraging habitat may have influenced bat activity
Discussion and Conclusions

- **Canyon bat**
  - common in analysis area
  - likely uses analysis area all year

- **Mexican free-tailed bat**
  - common in analysis area
  - primarily uses analysis area in spring and summer
  - some migrate
Discussion and Conclusions

- Bat activity detected at all 23 sites
- Likely that many bats detected were using mines
- No information regarding hibernation roosts
- If bats using inactive mine features in 2012, it was likely in small numbers
- Results are a snapshot of bat use in analysis area in 2012
Recommendations

- Timing of reclamation activities or closures
- Perform final assessment of bat presence/absence prior to closures or reclamation activities
- If a maternity roost or hibernacula of bats detected using site, postpone closure